

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811)
Chief, Criminal Division

NATALIE LEE (CABN 277362)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7301
FAX: (415) 436-7234
Natalie.Lee2@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	No. CR 12-0511 RS
)	
v.)	
JERMAINE JONES,)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
)	CONTINUING MATTER AND EXCLUDING
Defendant.)	TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
)	
)	

On April 29, 2014, the parties made a status appearance before the Court during which time the parties requested, and the Court ordered, a continuance until October 21, 2014, so that the government would have additional time to communicate with the state authorities regarding possible state charges in this matter and also for defense counsel to review items of discovery and continue to investigate the case.

The parties also requested, and the Court ordered, that the time between April 29, 2014 and October 21, 2014, would be excluded from the running of the speedy trial clock for effective preparation of counsel, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that, taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this extension. The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public

1 and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

2 SO STIPULATED:

3
4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

5
6 DATED: April 30, 2014

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
_____/S/
NATALIE LEE
Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: April 30, 2014

_____/S/
DIANA WEISS
Attorney for Jermaine Jones

11 For the reasons stated above, this matter is continued until October 21, 2014. The Court finds
12 that the exclusion of time from April 29, 2014 through October 21, 2014 is warranted and that the ends
13 of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a
14 speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161 (h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the
15 defendant effective preparation of counsel and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §
16 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

17 SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: 5/7/14

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
United States District Judge